

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/500,101	NAKAZATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Paul A. Zucker.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Susan J. Mack.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4/16/06

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
 N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
 1,30

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
 N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Mack to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except that claim 1 had both 112 1st and 2nd paragraph problems and claim 30 was non-statutory. The Examiner requested, and received, authorization to cancel claims 1 and 30 by Examiner's Amendment as follows:

The claims have been amended as follows:  
Claims 1 and 30 have been canceled. .